Profile of Family Forest Landowners in WA State

Data based on 2002 SFLO Statewide Survey

- 1. Type of Ownership (Q6)
 - a. 58.8% of family forestlands are individually owned
 - b. 24.5% are jointly owned
- 2. % who live on their forestland (Q7)
 - a. 45.3%
- 3. Second home or cabin on forestland?
 - a. 26.5%
- 4. Plans for the next five years:
 - a. Give property to children
 - i. 24.7%
 - b. Sell some or all of forestland
 - i. 21.1%
 - c. Subdivide and sell
 - i. 7.2%
 - d. Put in to land trust
 - i. 6.2%
- 5. Priorities for owning forestland (Q12)
 - a. Scenic/aesthetic beauty
 - i. 89.1 said medium to high priority
 - b. Protection of nature
 - i. 77.6% said medium to high priority
 - c. Land investment
 - i. 75% said medium to high priority
 - d. A legacy for children
 - i. 67.9% said medium to high priority
 - e. Production of timber
 - i. 55.7% said medium to high priority
 - f. Hunting and fishing
 - i. 27.9% said medium to high priority
 - g. Recreation other than hunting and fishing
 - i. 52.3% said medium to high priority
- 6. How long you or family have owned forestland (Q13)
 - a. 10-19 years: 17.6%
 - b. 20-49 years: 41.8%
 - c. 50+ years: 19.2%
- 7. Has real estate agent offered to purchase property for development (Q16)
 - a. 41.4% Yes
- 8. Interest in timber management (Q17)
 - a. 81.3% expressed some interest
- 9. Latest commercial harvest of timber (Q18)
 - a. 31.4% within last 5 years
- 10. Next commercial timber harvest (Q19)
 - a. 32.6% within next 10 years
- 11. Have written management plan for property (Q20)
 - a. 30.6%
- 12. Wildlife enhancements in the last 5 years (Q23):
 - a. Retained snags
 - i. 72.3%
 - b. Provided downed logs
 - i. 50.8%
 - c. Planted vegetation
 - i. 24.4%

13. Resource management activities in past 5 years (Q24): a. Planted trees i. 49.5% Thinned trees h i. 55.4% Built or maintained roads i. 57.3% d. Harvested non-timber forest products i. 19% 14. Familiarity with WA State Forest Practices Rules (Q28) a. 69.6 are somewhat to very unfamiliar 15. Are the Rules...(O29) a. Too strict: 32% b. About right: 14.7% c. Unaware of rules: 26.4% 16. Familiarity with new Forests and Fish Rules (Q30) a. 70% are somewhat to very unfamiliar 17. Do current rules restrict your ability to manage your forest as you wish (Q31) a. 37.8% felt Rules somewhat to very much restricted management b. 34.1% were unsure 18. Do current Rules limit your ability to earn a profit (Q32) a. 34.8% felt rules somewhat to greatly limit profitability b. 38.1% were unsure 19. Interest in developing an Alternate Plan that differs from Rules (Q33) a. 57.7% Yes 20. Awareness of RMAPs requirements a. 74.6% No 21. Types of water present on or bordering property (Q38) a. Lake i. 6.5% Pond i. 27.6 Spring i. 45/2% Wetland i. 37% e. Stream or river i. 53.7% 1. Type 1: 21.7% 2. Type 2: 20.9% 3. Type 3: 32.3% 4. Type 4: 49.8% 5. Type 5: 66.5% 22. Are fish present in any water body on your property 23. Are you concerned FFR will limit your ability to manage your riparian forests (Q42) a. 63.2 are somewhat to greatly concerned 24. Have you heard of FREP (Q43) a. 71.6% No

25. Willingness to work with others to protect T&E species (Q46)a. 70.6% somewhat to strongly willing

a. 87.3% somewhat to strongly agree27. Have you ever used a federal cost-sharing program

26. We should focus of protecting eco-systems, rather than a single species

d. Thinned trees to create diversity of habitat

i. 36.2%

- a. 82.1% No
- 28. Helpfulness of cost-sharing programs (Q55):
 - a. Road maintenance
 - i. 56.1% said somewhat to very helpful
- 29. How helpful (Q55):
 - a. Less restrictive buffer regulations would be (Q55):
 - i. 60.9% said somewhat to very helpful
 - b. Long-term forest practices permits
 - i. 67.8% said somewhat to very helpful
- 30. Age of forest landowner
 - a. 70.6% were 51+ years old
- 31. Employment
 - a. 38.7% were retired
- 32.